Universal dual amplitudes and asymptotic expansions for $gg \to H$ and $H \to \gamma\gamma$ in four dimensions

Félix Driencourt-Mangin [(a)],* Germán Rodrigo [(a)],† and Germán F. R. Sborlini [(b)]‡
[a] *Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Universitat de València – Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Parc Científic, E-46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain.*
[b] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Universit di Milano and INFN Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milan, Italy.*

(Dated: February 24, 2017)

Though the one-loop amplitudes of the Higgs boson to massless gauge bosons are finite because there is no direct interaction at tree-level in the Standard Model, a well-defined regularization scheme is still required. Motivated by recent discussions, we reanalyze these amplitudes in the framework of the four-dimensional unsubtraction and loop-tree duality (FDU/LTD) and discover new additional advantages of this formalism. We show that LTD naturally leads to very compact integrand expressions of the one-loop amplitude with virtual electroweak gauge bosons, which exhibit the same functional form as with top quarks and charged scalars. Then, local renormalization is introduced to achieve a dual representation that allows the direct calculation in four space-time dimensions. In addition, we show that asymptotic expansions in LTD are straightforward and circumvent many of the difficulties that arise in the expansion by regions.

arXiv:1702.07581v1 [hep-ph] 24 Feb 2017

INTRODUCTION

The $gg \to H$ and $H \to \gamma\gamma$ are the golden channels for production and decay of the Higgs boson at the CERN's Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The one-loop contributions to the Hgg vertex are known since a long time ago [1–3], as well as the Higgs decay into a photon pair [4–6]. However, recent recalculations of the $H \to \gamma\gamma$ one-loop amplitude with internal W gauge bosons have claimed a finite discrepancy with the established result with potential experimental consequences [7], and even the breakdown of the Feynman rules in the R_ξ gauge [8]. It is well-known that these amplitudes are finite due to the absence of a direct interaction at tree-level in the Standard Model. However, contrary to what it is naively expected, dimensional regularization (DREG) – or another regularization technique – and a well-defined renormalization scheme are still required for their correct evaluation. The one-loop amplitudes of the Higgs boson to massless gauge bosons are therefore also interesting as benchmark for comparison of different renormalization schemes [9].

The discrepancy among different results is related with a non-consistent treatment of the d-dimensional algebra, and the fact that intermediate expressions are highly singular. In particular, it is related with the replacement [10]

$$\ell^\mu \ell^\nu \to \frac{1}{n}\, g^{\mu\nu}\, \ell^2 \,, \tag{1}$$

inside tensor loop integrals, with $n = 4$ or $n = 4 - 2\epsilon$. Besides spoiling gauge invariance, the naive calculation with $n = 4$ leads to inconsistent physical effects such as the absence of decoupling in the limit $M_f^2 \gg M_H^2$, with M_f the mass of the virtual particle running in the loop. Although the Dyson prescription [7, 11] can restore decoupling, it is not sufficient to achieve the correct result. On the other hand, a non-decoupling effect in expected in the opposite limit $M_H \to \infty$ for the W boson loop due to the equivalence principle [10].

We have recently proposed a new approach to deal with perturbative computations avoiding DREG. The four-dimensional unsubtraction method (FDU) [12–14] is based on an integrand level transformation that achieves a fully local cancellation of singularities. The key component of this approach is the loop-tree duality theorem (LTD) [15–19], which separates the loop contribution into sums of dual integrands obtained by applying a number of cuts equal to the number of loops. So, due to its natural four-dimensional nature, FDU/LTD allows to avoid the ambiguities encountered within DREG and helps to expose the origin of the finite discrepancies encountered in the literature.

A generic one-loop amplitude with N-internal propagators has the form

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = \int_\ell \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} G_F(q_i) \right) \mathcal{N}(\ell, \{p_k\}) \,, \tag{2}$$

where $\int_\ell = -\imath \int d^d\ell/(2\pi)^d$, $G_F(q_i) = (q_i^2 - m_i^2 + \imath 0)^{-1}$ are Feynman propagators, and $\mathcal{N}(\ell, \{p_k\})$ is the numerator that has a polynomial dependence in the loop and external momenta. The corresponding LTD representation is obtained by setting sequentially the internal propagators on-shell

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1)} = -\int_\ell \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{\delta}\,(q_i) \left(\prod_{j\neq i} G_D(q_i; q_j) \right) \mathcal{N}(\ell, \{p_k\}) \,, \tag{3}$$

with $\tilde{\delta}\,(q_i) = \imath\, 2\pi\, \theta(q_{i,0})\, \delta(q_i^2 - m_i^2)$, and promoting the remaining propagators to dual propagators with a modified $\imath 0$-prescription: $G_D(q_i; q_j) = (q_j^2 - m_j^2 - \imath 0\, \eta \cdot (q_j - q_i))^{-1}$, where η is a future-like vector, e.g. $\eta_\mu = (1, \mathbf{0})$.

In this paper, we reanalyze the $gg \to H$ and $H \to \gamma\gamma$ scattering amplitudes at one-loop and their asymptotic expansion in the FDU/LTD formalism. First, we show that LTD naturally leads to very compact expressions for the amplitude integrand that exhibit the same functional form for virtual charged scalars, top quarks or W gauge bosons running in the loop. This is a highly non-trivial result as intermediate expressions with gauge bosons diverge faster in the UV than those with scalars and fermions. After that, we discuss the local renormalization of the one-loop amplitude by introducing a suitable counter-term that locally cancels the UV behavior

of the one-loop integrand and allows a direct integration of the amplitude in $d = 4$ space-time dimensions. The second relevant result presented in this article is related with asymptotic expansions. The simplicity and well-behaved convergence of the large-mass asymptotic expansions in the LTD formalism avoids to consider complementary expansions in different regions of the loop momentum [20, 21]. Finally, a similar behavior is found in the small-mass region.

DUAL AMPLITUDES FOR $gg \to H$ AND $H \to \gamma\gamma$

The one-loop scattering amplitudes of the Higgs boson to two massless gauge bosons have the form

$$|\mathcal{M}^{(1)}_{gg\to H}\rangle = \imath\, g_S^2\, \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{T}^a\mathbf{T}^b)\, \varepsilon_a^\mu(p_1)\, \varepsilon_b^\nu(p_2)\, \mathcal{A}^{(1,t)}_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{4}$$

$$|\mathcal{M}^{(1)}_{H\to\gamma\gamma}\rangle = \imath\, e^2 \left(\sum_{f=\phi,t,W} e_f^2\, \mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_{\mu\nu}\right) (\varepsilon^\mu(p_1))^*\, (\varepsilon^\nu(p_2))^*\,,$$

with ε the polarization vectors of the external gluons and photons, and $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{T}^a\mathbf{T}^b) = T_R\,\delta_{ab}$ the color factor. By Lorentz invariance, the color and electric charge stripped tensor amplitude is given by

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_i\, T^i_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{5}$$

as a function of the tensor basis

$$T^{\mu\nu}_i = \left\{ g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2\,p_1^\nu\,p_2^\mu}{s_{12}}, g^{\mu\nu}\,, \frac{2\,p_1^\mu\,p_2^\nu}{s_{12}}\,, \frac{2\,p_1^\mu\,p_1^\nu}{s_{12}}\,, \frac{2\,p_2^\mu\,p_2^\nu}{s_{12}} \right\}. \tag{6}$$

We can extract the scalar coefficients $\mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_i$ by using the projectors

$$P^{\mu\nu}_1 = \frac{1}{d-2}\left(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{2\,p_1^\nu p_2^\mu}{s_{12}} - (d-1)\,\frac{2\,p_1^\mu p_2^\nu}{s_{12}}\right)\,, \tag{7}$$

$$P^{\mu\nu}_2 = \frac{2\,p_1^\mu p_2^\nu}{s_{12}}\,, \tag{8}$$

with $P^{\mu\nu}_i\,\mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_i$. Because of gauge invariance, only the first coefficient $\mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_1$ is relevant, while $\mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_2$ should vanish upon integration. The other three coefficients do not contribute to the scattering amplitude after contracting with the polarization vectors.

The one-loop amplitude can be expressed in terms of the internal momenta $q_1 = \ell + p_1$, $q_2 = \ell + p_{12}$ with $p_{12} = p_1 + p_2$, $q_3 = \ell$; and $q_4 = \ell + p_2$ to account for the diagrams with the two photons/gluons exchanged. Explicitly, the one-loop amplitude with virtual top quarks is

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1,t)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{M_t}{\langle v\rangle} \int_\ell \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3} G_F(q_i)\right) \tag{9}$$

$$\times \mathrm{Tr}\left[\gamma_\nu\,(\not{q}_1 + M_t)\,\gamma_\mu\,(\not{q}_3 + M_t)\,(\not{q}_2 + M_t)\right] + (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2)\,,$$

with $\langle v\rangle$ the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs boson, and $G_F(q_i) = (q_i^2 - M_t^2 + \imath 0)^{-1}$ the Feynman propagators.

We work in the unitary gauge because all of the propagating degrees of freedom are physical and the gauge propagator

$$-i\left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{M_W^2}\right)\frac{1}{q_i^2 - M_W^2 + \imath 0}\,, \tag{10}$$

does not introduce additional poles in the loop momentum space, allowing a straightforward application of the LTD theorem [15]. We do not provide here the explicit expressions for the charged scalar and W boson loop amplitudes; they can be obtained straightforwardly from the standard Feynman rules.

Partial results for the W boson loop amplitude are more singular in the UV than the corresponding expressions for the charged scalar and top quark loops due to the presence of higher powers of the loop momentum. These additional powers are introduced through the $WW\gamma$ vertex, which is linear in the loop momentum, and the W propagator (Eq. (10)). Also, the W loop amplitude receives contributions from bubble diagrams with $WW\gamma\gamma$ interaction vertices that do not exist for the top quark loop. Those contributions are necessary to preserve gauge invariance. It is a remarkable feature of LTD that by setting the internal propagators on-shell the rank of the numerators of intermediate expressions is reduced automatically. Moreover, there are cross-cancellations between the bubble and triangle diagrams, which are not obvious within the traditional approach but become explicit in LTD.

As a consequence, we obtain the following expressions for the scalar coefficients in Eq. (5) that exhibit the same functional form for charged scalars, top quarks and W bosons

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_1 =\; & g_f \int_\ell \tilde{\delta}\,(\ell)\left[\left(\frac{\ell_0^{(+)}}{q_{1,0}^{(+)}} + \frac{\ell_0^{(+)}}{q_{4,0}^{(+)}}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left. + \frac{2\,(2\ell\cdot p_{12})^2}{s_{12}^2 - (2\ell\cdot p_{12} - \imath 0)^2}\right)\left(\frac{s_{12}\,M_f^2}{(2\,\ell\cdot p_1)(2\,\ell\cdot p_2)}\,c_1^{(f)}\right.\right. \\ & \left.\left. + c_2^{(f)}\right) + \frac{2\,s_{12}^2}{s_{12}^2 - (2\ell\cdot p_{12} - \imath 0)^2}\,c_3^{(f)}\right]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{(1,f)}_2 = g_f\,\frac{c_3^{(f)}}{2}\int_\ell \tilde{\delta}\,(\ell)\left(\frac{\ell_0^{(+)}}{q_{1,0}^{(+)}} + \frac{\ell_0^{(+)}}{q_{4,0}^{(+)}} - 2\right)\,, \tag{12}$$

with $f = \phi, t, W$. The on-shell loop energies are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{1,0}^{(+)} = \sqrt{(\boldsymbol{\ell} + \mathbf{p}_1)^2 + M_f^2}\,, \quad q_{4,0}^{(+)} = \sqrt{(\boldsymbol{\ell} + \mathbf{p}_2)^2 + M_f^2}\,, \\ & \ell_0^{(+)} = q_{2,0}^{(+)} = q_{3,0}^{(+)} = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + M_f^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The coefficients $c_i^{(f)}$ are written as $c_i^{(f)} = c_{i,0}^{(f)} + r_f\,c_{i,1}^{(f)}$ with $r_f = s_{12}/M_f^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & g_f = \frac{2\,M_f^2}{\langle v\rangle\,s_{12}}\,, \quad c_{23,0}^{(f)} = (d-4)\frac{c_{1,0}^{(f)}}{2}\,, \quad c_{1,0}^{(\phi)} = \frac{2}{d-2}\,, \\ & c_{3,0}^{(\phi)} = 2\,, \quad c_{1,0}^{(t)} = \frac{8}{d-2}\,, \quad c_{1,1}^{(t)} = -1\,, \quad c_{3,0}^{(t)} = 8\,, \\ & c_{1,0}^{(W)} = \frac{4\,(d-1)}{d-2}\,, \quad c_{1,1}^{(W)} = -\frac{2(2\,d-5)}{d-2}\,, \\ & c_{23,1}^{(W)} = \frac{d-4}{d-2}\,, \quad c_{3,0}^{(W)} = 4(d-1)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with $c_2^{(f)} = c_{23}^{(f)} - c_3^{(f)}$. Notice that $c_{1,1}^{(\phi)} = c_{23,1}^{(\phi)} = c_{3,1}^{(\phi)} = c_{23,1}^{(t)} = c_{3,1}^{(t)} = c_{3,1}^{(W)} = 0$. The universality of the amplitudes could be supported by supersymmetric Ward identities at tree level similar to those relating amplitudes with heavy quarks and heavy scalars [22, 23], because the dual representation is indeed a tree-level like object. We leave that discussion and the possible proof as an open question for a future publication.

Assuming that the coefficient $\mathcal{A}_2^{(1,f)}$ vanishes upon integration in d-dimensions (notice that the naive calculation with $d = 4$ leads to a finite contribution that violates gauge invariance), we can rewrite $\mathcal{A}_1^{(1,f)}$ in the most compact form:

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{(1,f)} = g_f \int_\ell \tilde{\delta}(\ell) \left[\left(\frac{\ell_0^{(+)}}{q_{1,0}^{(+)}} + \frac{\ell_0^{(+)}}{q_{4,0}^{(+)}} + \frac{2\,(2\ell\cdot p_{12})^2}{s_{12}^2 - (2\ell\cdot p_{12} - \imath 0)^2} \right) \frac{s_{12}\, M_f^2}{(2\,\ell\cdot p_1)(2\,\ell\cdot p_2)}\, c_1^{(f)} + \frac{2\, s_{12}^2}{s_{12}^2 - (2\ell\cdot p_{12} - \imath 0)^2}\, c_{23}^{(f)} \right] . \tag{15}$$

The integral proportional to the coefficient $c_1^{(f)}$ is indeed finite in the UV; thus, it will lead to the same result, up to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, if evaluated in four or d-dimensions. On the contrary, the remaining contribution must necessarily be calculated in d-dimensions because $c_{23}^{(f)} \propto d - 4$ and the accompanying integral is logarithmically divergent.

LOCAL RENORMALIZATION

Since there is no direct interaction of the Higgs boson to massless gauge bosons, the one-loop amplitude is UV finite and does not need to be renormalized. However, the integrand of the one-loop amplitude is locally singular in the UV. This explains the requirement of introducing a well-defined regularization scheme to treat it properly. Therefore, we define in this section a UV counter-term that exactly cancels locally the UV behavior of the one-loop amplitude, but integrates to zero and does not lead to any effective renormalization.

The approach that we follow differs from the previously used in Refs. [12–14]. Instead of expanding around the UV propagator $G_F(q_{\rm UV}) = (q_{\rm UV}^2 - \mu_{\rm UV}^2 + \imath 0)^{-1}$ at Feynman integral level, we first switch to the LTD representation and then expand. The UV expansion of Eq. (15) is particularly simple because only the contribution proportional to $c_{23}^{(f)}$ presents a singular behavior. The UV counter-term is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,\rm UV}^{(1,f)} = -g_f \int_\ell \frac{\tilde{\delta}(\ell)\; \ell_0^{(+)} s_{12}}{2(q_{\rm UV,0}^{(+)})^3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q_{\rm UV,0}^{(+)})^2} \frac{3\,\mu_{\rm UV}^2}{d-4} \right) c_{23}^{(f)} , \tag{16}$$

with $q_{\rm UV,0}^{(+)} = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2 + \mu_{\rm UV}^2}$. The term proportional to $\mu_{\rm UV}^2$ is subleading and is used to fix the renormalization scheme, in this case $\mathcal{A}_{1,\rm UV}^{(1)} = 0$, in d-dimensions. Notice that the renormalization scale $\mu_{\rm UV}$ is arbitrary because the one-loop amplitude is indeed not renormalized. The effect is similar to the Dyson prescription [11], which consist in subtracting the amplitude evaluated with vanishing external photon (or gluon) momenta. The Dyson prescription, however, fails for the W boson loop; although it correctly subtracts the leading non-decoupling term in the limit $M_W^2/s_{12} \to \infty$, it does not account properly for the relevant subleading contributions.

The difference of Eq. (15) and Eq. (16) defines the locally renormalized amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{1,\rm R}^{(1,f)}$. It has a smooth four-dimensional limit and can directly be calculated with $d = 4$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,\rm R}^{(1,f)}\Big|_{d=4} = \left(\mathcal{A}_1^{(1,f)} - \mathcal{A}_{1,\rm UV}^{(1,f)} \right)_{d=4} . \tag{17}$$

Notice that $c_{23}^{(f)}$ vanishes in four dimensions, and therefore the first term of the integrand in Eq. (16) vanishes, but $\hat{c}_{23}^{(f)} = c_{23}^{(f)}/(d-4)$ leads to a finite contribution because $c_{23}^{(f)} \propto d-4$. The integrated amplitude reads

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,\rm R}^{(1,f)}\Big|_{d=4} = \frac{g_f\, s_{12}}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{M_f^2}{s_{12}} \log^2\left(\frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1} \right) c_1^{(f)} + 2\,\hat{c}_{23}^{(f)} \right) , \tag{18}$$

with the coefficients $c_1^{(f)}$ and $\hat{c}_{23}^{(f)}$ evaluated at $d = 4$, and $\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4M_f^2/(s_{12} + \imath 0)}$. It agrees with the expected well-know result [1–6].

For the explicit integration, we have used the following parametrization of the loop three-momentum

$$\boldsymbol{\ell} = M_f\, \xi\, (2\sqrt{v(1-v)}\, \mathbf{e}_\perp, 1 - 2v) , \tag{19}$$

with ξ its modulus normalized to the internal mass M_f, and $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ the unit vector in the transverse plane. The integration measure is

$$\int_\ell \tilde{\delta}(\ell) = \frac{4M_f^2}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \xi_0^{-1}\, \xi^2 d\xi \int_0^1 dv , \tag{20}$$

with $\xi_0 = \sqrt{\xi^2 + 1}$.

ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS

LTD reduces the original d-dimensional integration domain with Minkowski metric, to a $(d-1)$-dimensional space with Euclidean metric: the loop three-momentum. This is an interesting feature that allows to circumvent many of the difficulties that arise when performing asymptotic expansions of the integrand in a Minkowski space [20, 21]. We can use the production and decay of the Higgs boson to massless gauge bosons as benchmark example to illustrate the ease of performing asymptotic expansions in the LTD formalism.

We consider the large-mass limit $M_f^2 \gg s_{12}$, in particular, the dual contribution with q_3 on-shell

$$\tilde{\delta}(q_3)\; G_D(q_3; q_2) = \frac{\tilde{\delta}(q_3)}{s_{12} + 2q_3\cdot p_{12} - \imath 0} , \tag{21}$$

where $q_3 \cdot p_{12} = q_{3,0}^{(+)} \sqrt{s_{12}}$ in the center-of-mass frame. The on-shell energy $q_{3,0}^{(+)}$ is defined in Eq. (13). Since $q_{3,0}^{(+)} \geq M_f$, the asymptotic expansion for $M_f^2 \gg s_{12}$ is straightforward

$$\tilde{\delta}\,(q_3)\; G_D(q_3;q_2) = \frac{\tilde{\delta}\,(q_3)}{2q_3\cdot p_{12}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-s_{12}}{2q_3\cdot p_{12}}\right)^n . \quad (22)$$

Likewise, we shall expand the terms

$$\frac{\ell_0^{(+)}}{q_{1,0}^{(+)}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2n+1)}{\Gamma^2(n+1)} \left(-\frac{2\boldsymbol{\ell}\cdot\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1^2}{(2\ell_0^{(+)})^2}\right)^n , \quad (23)$$

which is a valid expansion because $\ell_0^{(+)} > M_f$. Notice that each term of the expansions in Eq. (22) and Eq. (23) is less singular in the UV than the previous one, and is well-behaved in the IR. There is no need to consider different loop momentum regions to obtain the correct asymptotic expansion.

Taking into account the previous considerations, we obtain the following expansion in the center-of-mass frame

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,\mathrm{R}}^{(1,f)}(s_{12} < 4M_f^2)\Big|_{d=4} = \frac{M_f^2}{\langle v\rangle} \int_\ell \tilde{\delta}\,(\ell) \left[\frac{3\,\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^2\,\ell_0^{(+)}}{(q_{\mathrm{UV},0}^{(+)})^5}\,\hat{c}_{23}^{(f)} + \frac{M_f^2}{(\ell_0^{(+)})^4}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(z)\left(\frac{s_{12}}{(2\ell_0^{(+)})^2}\right)^n\right) c_1^{(f)}\right] , \quad (24)$$

with $z = (2\boldsymbol{\ell}\cdot\mathbf{p}_1)/(\ell_0^{(+)}\sqrt{s_{12}}) = \xi(1-2v)/\xi_0$, and

$$Q_n(z) = \frac{1}{1-z^2}\left(P_{2n}(z) - 1\right) , \quad (25)$$

where $P_{2n}(z)$ is the Legendre polynomial. The integrated amplitude at the lowest orders is

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,\mathrm{R}}^{(1,f)}\Big|_{d=4} = \frac{s_{12}}{8\pi^2\,\langle v\rangle}\left(\frac{2\hat{c}_{23,0}^{(f)} - c_{1,0}^{(f)}}{r_f} + 2\hat{c}_{23,1}^{(f)} - \frac{c_{1,0}^{(f)}}{12} - c_{1,1}^{(f)} - \frac{r_f}{6}\left(\frac{c_{1,0}^{(f)}}{15} + \frac{c_{1,1}^{(f)}}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(r_f^2)\right) . \quad (26)$$

The $1/r_f$ non-decoupling term vanishes because $\hat{c}_{23,0}^{(f)} = c_{1,0}^{(f)}/2$, leading to the following explicit results for the different internal flavors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1,\mathrm{R}}^{(1,\phi)}\Big|_{d=4} &= \frac{s_{12}}{8\pi^2\,\langle v\rangle}\frac{1}{12}\left(1 + \frac{2\,r_\phi}{15} + \mathcal{O}(r_\phi^2)\right) , \\
\mathcal{A}_{1,\mathrm{R}}^{(1,t)}\Big|_{d=4} &= \frac{s_{12}}{8\pi^2\,\langle v\rangle}\frac{2}{3}\left(1 + \frac{7\,r_t}{120} + \mathcal{O}(r_t^2)\right) , \\
\mathcal{A}_{1,\mathrm{R}}^{(1,W)}\Big|_{d=4} &= \frac{s_{12}}{8\pi^2\,\langle v\rangle}\frac{1}{2}\left(7 + \frac{11\,r_W}{30} + \mathcal{O}(r_W^2)\right) . \quad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic expansion for small M_f can also be easily obtained from Eq. (17)

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,\mathrm{R}}^{(1,f)}(M_f^2 \ll s_{12})\Big|_{d=4} = \frac{s_{12}\,\hat{c}_{23,1}^{(f)}}{4\pi^2\,\langle v\rangle} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M_f^2}{s_{12}}\right) . \quad (28)$$

The leading term in Eq. (28) vanishes for charged scalars and top quarks since $\hat{c}_{23,1}^{(f)} = 0$ for $f = \phi$ and t, but leads to a constant for the W boson loop with $\hat{c}_{23,1}^{(W)} = 1/2$.

CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a very compact and universal integrand representation of the one-loop amplitude for the Higgs boson to two massless gauge bosons. The functional form of the amplitude is the same for internal scalars, fermions and vector bosons, and could be supported by tree-level supersymmetric Ward identities. Presumably, this universality will persist at higher orders because the LTD amplitude is a tree-level object to all orders. The amplitude has been locally renormalized such that a pure four-dimensional integration free from potential scheme ambiguities is achieved. All the previously known results were recovered within a pure four-dimensional framework. Since the integration of the FDU/LTD amplitude effectively occurs in an Euclidean space, namely the loop three-momentum space, asymptotic expansions are more straightforward than in other methods. Specifically, the asymptotic expansion of the Higgs boson amplitudes lead to very simple expressions that can easily be integrated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work supported by the Spanish Government and ERDF funds from the European Commission (Grants No. FPA2014-53631-C2-1-P and SEV-2014-0398) and by Generalitat Valenciana under Grant No. PROMETEOII/2013/007. FDM acknowledges support from Generalitat Valenciana (GRISOLIA/2015/035).

* felix.dm@ific.uv.es
† german.rodrigo@csic.es
‡ german.sborlini@ific.uv.es

[1] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39** (1977) 1304.
[2] H. M. Georgi, S. L. Glashow, M. E. Machacek and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40** (1978) 692.
[3] T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 178 Addendum: [Phys. Rev. D **22** (1980) 1824].
[4] J. R. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B **106** (1976) 292.
[5] B. L. Ioffe and V. A. Khoze, Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **9** (1978) 50 [Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra **9** (1978) 118].
[6] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, M. B. Voloshin and V. I. Zakharov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **30** (1979) 711 [Yad. Fiz. **30** (1979) 1368].
[7] R. Gastmans, S. L. Wu and T. T. Wu, arXiv:1108.5872 [hep-ph].
[8] T. T. Wu and S. L. Wu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **31** (2016) no.04n05, 1650028.
[9] Summary Report of the Regularization Scheme Workstop/Thinkstart, 13-16 Sep 2016, Zurich.
[10] D. Huang, Y. Tang and Y. L. Wu, Commun. Theor. Phys. **57** (2012) 427 [arXiv:1109.4846 [hep-ph]].
[11] F. J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. **75** (1949) 1736.
[12] R. J. Hernández-Pinto, G. F. R. Sborlini and G. Rodrigo, JHEP **1602** (2016) 044 [arXiv:1506.04617 [hep-ph]].

[13] G. F. R. Sborlini, F. Driencourt-Mangin, R. Hernández-Pinto and G. Rodrigo, JHEP **1608** (2016) 160 [arXiv:1604.06699 [hep-ph]].
[14] G. F. R. Sborlini, F. Driencourt-Mangin and G. Rodrigo, JHEP **1610** (2016) 162 [arXiv:1608.01584 [hep-ph]].
[15] S. Catani, T. Gleisberg, F. Krauss, G. Rodrigo and J. C. Winter, JHEP **0809** (2008) 065 [arXiv:0804.3170 [hep-ph]].
[16] I. Bierenbaum, S. Catani, P. Draggiotis and G. Rodrigo, JHEP **1010** (2010) 073 [arXiv:1007.0194 [hep-ph]].
[17] I. Bierenbaum, S. Buchta, P. Draggiotis, I. Malamos and G. Rodrigo, JHEP **1303** (2013) 025 [arXiv:1211.5048 [hep-ph]].
[18] S. Buchta, G. Chachamis, P. Draggiotis, I. Malamos and G. Rodrigo, JHEP **1411** (2014) 014 [arXiv:1405.7850 [hep-ph]].
[19] S. Buchta, G. Chachamis, P. Draggiotis and G. Rodrigo, arXiv:1510.00187 [hep-ph].
[20] M. Beneke and V. A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **522** (1998) 321 [hep-ph/9711391].
[21] V. A. Smirnov, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. **177** (2002) 1.
[22] C. Schwinn and S. Weinzierl, JHEP **0603** (2006) 030 [hep-th/0602012].
[23] P. Ferrario, G. Rodrigo and P. Talavera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 182001 [hep-th/0602043].